arXiv:1604.03987v1 [math.AG] 13 Apr 2016

TROPICAL IGUSA INVARIANTS AND TORSION EMBEDDINGS

PAUL ALEXANDER HELMINCK

Abstract. Tropical versions of j-invariants have been introduced and studied in [4], [13] and [6]. In this paper we will introduce a tropical version of the Igusa invariants, the so-called *tropical Igusa invariants*, and show their connection to semi-stability. See [9] for the nontropical version. We will also interpret results from [15] in terms of torsion points on Jacobians.

1. Introduction

This paper is divided into two parts. First, we will discuss a tropical variant of the *Igusa invariants*. These invariants completely determine the semi-stable reduction type of a genus 2 curve. For an exposition of the original invariants we refer the reader to [9] and [7]. We will first give a review of the results of [9] and we will use these to create their tropical versions. These new invariants enable us to show that one can recover the cell decomposition of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_2}$ by calculations on the tropical version of the moduli space. Similar work has been done for the genus 2 tropical case in [18].
The second part of the paper is about torsion points on Jacobians and how to obtain tropical embeddings using these. These embeddings are known to exist, but the methods used are purely analytic. In this paper we will create embeddings using the component group of the Néron model of the Jacobian of a curve.

1.1. Tropical Igusa invariants.

In [4], [13] and [6] one can find the elliptic curve case of the theorem we will be discussing:

Theorem 1. Let E be an elliptic curve with multiplicative reduction over K with valuation ring R. There exists a tropicalization $\mathrm{Trop}(E)$ over the completion of an algebraic closure of K with a cycle of length $-v(j)$.

In this paper we will obtain the genus 2 version of this result. To do that we will have to define **Tropical Igusa Invariants**, which will yield the cycle lengths of the semi-stable reduction of C.

Theorem 2. (**Main Theorem**) Let C be a curve of genus 2. Suppose that C is semi-stable over K. Then the cycle lengths and reduction type of a faithful tropicalization can be completely described in terms of tropical Igusa invariants.

The full description is quite long so we will leave it for section 3. Obvious generalizations to genus n are possible, but since there is no description of the semi-stable reduction in

terms of the coordinates of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_n$, we haven't touched upon the general genus n case.

1.2. **Torsion embeddings.** In the second part we will reinterpret some results of [13] and [6] concerning elliptic curves and tropicalizations. This will give "*N-torsion*" tropical embeddings of elliptic curves. The results for the N-torsion were actually the precursor for the above genus 2 results. We will therefore first consider the elliptic curve case and then continue to the genus 2 case. Some difficulties are highlighted and explicit examples are given.

2. Graphs and tropicalizations

Here we give a quick summary of the notation and results in [13],[14] and [15]. Most of these concern the minimal regular model of a curve and the information on a semi-stable formal model over an algebraic closure of K. Once these are obtained, we continue to consider what we call *scaled tropicalizations*, which is a variant on *faithful tropicalizations*. These scaled tropicalizations occur when we introduce torsion points and their associated functions in section 4.

2.1. **Notation used throughout the paper.**

- K is a discretely valued complete field,
- v is the associated valuation,
- $|x| := e^{-v(x)}$ an associated absolute value,
- R the associated valuation ring, that is $R = \{x \in K : v(x) \geqslant 0\}$,
- $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of R,
- $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$ the residue field of characteristic p, which we assume is algebraically closed,
- C a geometrically connected smooth proper curve over K,
- $\mathcal{C}$ a model of C over R as in [8],
- $J(C)$ the Jacobian of C over K,
- $\mathcal{J}(C)$ (Or: $\mathcal{J}$) its Néron model.

2.2. **Graphs for minimal models.** Let C be as above. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a minimal regular model for C as in [8]. Let $S := \{\mathcal{C}_i\}$ be the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_s$. We will mostly follow [14] for the reduction map on the Jacobian of C. Let G be the dual graph of $\mathcal{C}_s$. That is, let G be the finite graph whose vertices correspond to irreducible components C_i of $\mathcal{C}_s$ and whose edges correspond to intersections between edges (in other words, there is exactly one edge between v_i and v_j for each point of intersection between C_i and C_j).
We will now define a reduction map on the Jacobian of C. We will use the intersection pairing on $\mathcal{C}$ (which is denoted by " $\cdot$ "). The target space will be the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear functions on the free abelian group on $S = \{\mathcal{C}_i\}$. Let $P \in J(K)$. Choose $D \in \mathrm{Div}^0(C)$ such that $P = [D]$. Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C})$ be the Zariski closure of D. Then

$$\phi(P) = [\mathcal{C}_i \mapsto (\mathcal{C}_i \cdot \mathcal{D})]$$

This is the reduction map on the Jacobian. When D corresponds to a Weil divisor supported on $C(K)$, we have another description. Write $D = \sum_{P \in X(K)} n_P(P)$ with $\sum n_P = 0$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is regular, each point specializes to one component $c(P)$. Identifying the formal sum $\sum_i a_i \mathcal{C}_i$ with the function $\mathcal{C}_i \mapsto a_i$, we have

$$\phi([D]) = [\sum_P n_P c(P)]$$

It is now possible to derive the component group of the Néron model from the data above. Let $\mathrm{Div}(G)$ be the free group on the set of vertices of G and define $\mathrm{Div}^0(G)$ to be the kernel of the map $\deg : \mathrm{Div}(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\deg(\sum a_i(v_i)) = \sum a_i$$

Now let $\mathcal{M}(G)$ be the set of $\mathbb{Z}$-linear functions on $V(G)$ and define the Laplacian operator $\Delta : \mathcal{M}(G) \to \mathrm{Div}^0(G)$ by

$$\Delta(\phi) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{e=vw} (\phi(v) - \phi(w))(v)$$

where the inner sum is over all edges e of G having v as an endpoint. Also, define

$$\mathrm{Prin}(G) = \Delta(\mathcal{M}(G)) \subseteq \mathrm{Div}^0(G)$$

and let $\mathrm{Jac}(G) = \mathrm{Div}^0(G)/\mathrm{Prin}(G)$ be the Jacobian of G. Note that we have a map $\phi : J(K) \to \mathrm{Jac}(G)$. We will use this map quite often.

Lemma 1. *The component group of $\mathcal{J}(C)$ is isomorphic to the Jacobian of G.*

Proof. This is in [14] and is largely based on a famous theorem by Raynaud. □

Remark 2.1. When dealing with Berkovich spaces one is usually interested in the retraction map $\tau : X^{an} \to \Sigma$ where Σ is the minimal skeleton. Here we show how to calculate this map in terms of the above data. Consider the irreducible components as divisors on $\mathcal{C}$. For every divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{C}$, we can use the intersection pairing to give a map $\rho : \mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Div}(G)$. It is defined by

$$\rho(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{v_i \in G} (\mathcal{C}_i \cdot \mathcal{D})(v_i)$$

This is known as the specialization map. If we now have a Weil Divisor $D = \sum_{P \in X(K)} n_P(P)$ then we can again look at the Zariski closure $\mathcal{D}$ of D and we can create

$$\rho(D) = \sum_P n_P(v(P))$$

where $v(P)$ is the unique component corresponding to P. Note again that we use the fact that we have a regular model here. This map coincides with the retraction map and will be used for computations in section 4.

Remark 2.2. In what follows we will quite often extend the base to the completion of an algebraic closure of K to use nonarchimedean analysis. Various compatibilities are explained in [15]. For instance we will take results in the discrete case like "the thickness of a point is n" and then translate this to the Berkovich case "the length of the corresponding edge in the minimal skeleton is n".

2.3. **Formal semi-stable models, Berkovich spaces and the Slope Formula.** We quickly recall the relevant notions in [13]. In this section only, K will be an algebraically closed field with a nontrivial nonarchimedean valuation $\text{val} : K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. R will be its valuation ring and k its residue field. Let $\mathcal{X}$ ba a strongly semistable formal model as in [13].
With a semistable formal model $\mathcal{X}$, we can consider the associated Berkovich space, denoted by $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ which is roughly given by base extending the coordinate rings with K. It has a semistable vertex set V. In fact, if we take the base extension of the minimal model in the previous section, then the irreducible components still define a semistable vertex set after extension.
We will denote the analytic space obtained by X^{an} as in [13]. For any one-dimensional K-analytic space, we have the set of skeletal points $\mathbb{H}_0(U)$, which is the set of type 2 and type 3 points. We define the set of norm points to be $\mathbb{H}(U) := U \backslash U(K)$. There's a very transparant way of looking at this last set in terms of semistable vertex sets. The interested reader can read more about this in [13].
Suppose now we have a geodesic segment $\alpha : [0, a] \to \mathbb{H}(X^{an})$ starting at x (that is $\alpha(0) = x$). Two geodesics α and β are considered equivalent if

1) α and β start at $x \in X^{an}$
2) α and β agree on some neighborhood of x.

A class of equivalent geodesics starting at x is called a *tangent direction at x*. Now recall that a function $F : X^{an} \to \mathbb{R}$ is piecewise affine provided that for any geodesic α the pullback $F \circ \alpha$ is piecewise affine. This allows us to consider the outgoing slopes of F along a tangent direction v as in [13]. We will denote the slope along such a tangent direction by $d_v(F)$.

Definition 2.1. A piecewise affine function F is **harmonic** at $x \in X^{an}$ provided that the outgoing slope is nonzero for only finitely many tangents v and

$$\sum_{v \in T_x} d_v(F(x)) = 0$$

We will say that F is harmonic if it is harmonic at every point.

We have the following important theorem, which is used frequently in section 4.

Theorem 2.1. (*Slope Formula for the skeleton*) *Let f be an algebraic function on X with no zeros or poles and let $F = -\log|f| : X^{an} \to \mathbb{R}$. Let V be a semistable vertex set of X and let Σ be the corresponding skeleton. Then F is harmonic.*

Remark 2.3. In practice we are given the sum of the outward slopes in a divisor and we have to find the piece-wise affine function modulo vertical translation corresponding to it. Some examples are given in section 4.

2.4. **Tropicalizations and lengths.** We now review what it means for a tropicalization to be faithful. In coordinates, the tropicalization map is given by

$$\mathrm{trop}(x_1, ..., x_n) = (\mathrm{val}(x_1), ..., \mathrm{val}(x_n))$$

Considering x_i as a function on X^{an}, we have an identification $\mathrm{val}(x_i) = -\log(|x_i|)$ (by definition of our absolute value). So in fact we are interested in the logarithms of the absolute value of functions on our analytic space. If we want to know the image of trop then we have to know for any f what its corresponding *tropical form* $F := -\log(|f|)$ is. This was done for the minimal skeleton in the previous parts. If we want the minimal skeleton to embed into $\mathbb{R}^n$ then we have to know how lengths of edges in our minimal skeleton are mapped to $\mathbb{R}^n$.
To that end, we recall some notions from [13].

Definition 2.2. (Expansion factor of an edge) Let $e \subset \Sigma$ be an edge and let $e' \subset \mathrm{Trop}(X)$ be its image. Define the **expansion factor of e** to be the unique integer $m_{rel}(e) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\ell_{\mathrm{Trop}}(\mathrm{trop}(\tilde{e})) = m_{\mathrm{rel}}(e) \cdot \ell_{an}(\tilde{e})$$

where $\tilde{e}$ is any finite-length segment $\tilde{e}$ contained in e.

Remark 2.4. We now turn to how we can calculate these expansion factors. Suppose we are given a tropicalization in the form

$$\mathrm{trop}(||\cdot||) = (-\log||f_1||, ..., -\log||f_n||)$$

where the f_i are the images of x_i under a toroidal embedding $X \to \mathbb{T}$ where $K[\mathbb{T}] \cong K[x_1^{\pm}, ..., x_n^{\pm}]$. Let $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the absolute value of the slope of $-\log||f_i||$ on e. Then

$$m_{\mathrm{rel}}(e) = \mathrm{gcd}_{i:s_i \neq 0}(s_i) \tag{1}$$

This allows us to calculate exactly what happens to the minimal skeleton under the tropicalization map.

We recall the notion of a **faithful tropicalization**. Let Σ be the minimal skeleton. We say that trop is faithful if it maps Σ homeomorphically and isometrically onto its image in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This boils down to the following:

- trop has to separate points, in the sense that it has to be injective on Σ,
- The expansion factor for every edge has to be 1.

Such a faithful tropicalization always exists for curves, as was shown in [13]. We now propose an alternative condition which is easier to achieve over smaller base fields.

Definition 2.3. Let trop be as above for a choice of basis f_i. We say that trop is a **scaled tropicalization** if

- trop separates points on Σ,

- the expansion factor is nonzero for every edge of Σ.

If a tropicalization is not faithful but scaled, we can still recover the length of an edge in the tropicalization by using equation 1. We will use this in section 4. Plenty of examples will be given there as well.

3. Tropical Igusa Invariants

In this section we will define tropical Igusa invariants. The definition is based on a result from [9] which relates the reduction types for the genus 2 case to the valuations of the Igusa invariants, similar to the elliptic curve case. For simplicity we will assume $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. We will follow [9]. Let C be a projective smooth curve over K, geometrically connected of genus 2. One can introduce the so-called Igusa invariants $\bar{J} = \{J_2, J_4, J_6, J_8, J_{10}\}$ of this curve C as follows: since C is hyperelliptic we have that C is determined by an equation of the form $y^2 = P(x)$ for some polynomial $P(x)$ of degree $5, 6$. We will give an explicit form in the case of $\deg(P(x)) = 5$ in the appendix. This explicit form of the invariants is determined by considering the $PGL_2(\bar{K})$ action on all quintics (or sextics) and by determining their invariants. This was also done in [10].
These invariants have a more abstract meaning in terms of moduli spaces which we will now highlight. Let $\mathcal{M}_2 : (\text{Sch}) \to (\text{Set})$ be the functor which assigns to every scheme S the isomorphism classes of proper smooth curves over S with geometrically connected fibres of genus 2. Now consider the graded $\mathbb{Z}$ algebra

$$\mathbb{Z}[\bar{J}] := \mathbb{Z}[J_2, J_4, J_6, J_8, J_{10}]/(J_4^2 - J_2J_6 + 4J_8),$$

where $\deg J_{2i} = 2i$. Let $\mathcal{X} = \text{Proj}\mathbb{Z}[\bar{J}]$. We then have a morphism of functors

$$\mathcal{M}_2 \to \text{Mor}(\cdot, \mathcal{X})$$

which induces an isomorphism of the coarse moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_2$ of all proper smooth curves of genus 2 with the open principal $D_+(J_{10})$ of $\mathcal{X}$ as in [7]. Note that for any specific curve C, J_{10} is the discriminant of the quintic/sextic corresponding to C. Let us describe this functor in more detail. Let C be a projective smooth curve of genus 2 over a field F, let $\bar{J}$ be the invariants calculated by any equation of C. Then $\phi([C])$ is defined by the corresponding homogenous Igusa invariants, where $[C]$ is the isomorphism class of C.

3.1. Reduction types of genus 2 curves.

Let us introduce homogenous elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\bar{J}]$ as in [8]. We define

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= 12^{-1}J_2 \\ I_4 &:= J_2^2 - 2^3 \cdot 3J_4 \\ I_6 &:= J_6 \\ I_8 &:= J_8 \\ I_{12} &:= -2^3J_4^3 + 3^2J_2J_4J_6 - 3^3J_6^2 - J_2^2J_8 \end{aligned}$$

These will be used in the characterisation of the reduction types of a curve of genus 2. We will rewrite the characterisation using the **tropical Igusa invariants**. For the entire characterisation we will adopt one more notational tool:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &:= 1 \text{ if } \mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2,3\\ \epsilon &:= 3 \text{ if } \mathrm{char}(k) = 3\\ \epsilon &:= 4 \text{ if } \mathrm{char}(k) = 2\end{aligned}$$

Now let us first define the valuations of the original Igusa invariants.

Definition 3.1. For any curve of genus 2 as above, consider its Igusa invariants $\{J_{2i}\} \cup \{I_{2i}\}$. We define the **Tropical Igusa invariants** to be the valuations of these invariants, namely:

$$\{v(J_{2i})\} \cup \{v(I_{2i})\}$$

These valuations will completely determine the reduction type for each curve. To that end, define the following functions

$$\begin{aligned}w_{1,i} &= 5v(J_{2i}) - iv(J_{10})\\ w_{2,i} &= 6v(J_{2i}) - iv(I_{12})\\ w_{2,x} &= 6v(J_{10}) - 5v(I_{12})\\ w_{3,i} &= 2v(J_{2i}) - iv(I_4)\\ w_{3,x} &= v(I_{12}) - 3v(I_4)\\ w_{3,y1} &= v(J_4) - v(I_4)\\ w_{3,y2} &= 2v(J_6) - 3v(I_4)\\ w_{4,i} &= w_{3,i}\\ w_{2c,1} &= \epsilon v(I_4) - 2v(I_{2\epsilon})\\ w_{2c,2} &= \epsilon v(J_{10}) - 5v(I_{2\epsilon})\\ w_{2c,3} &= \epsilon v(I_{12}) - 6v(I_{2\epsilon})\\ w_{5,1} &= 3\epsilon v(I_4) - \epsilon v(J_{10}) - v(I_{2\epsilon})\\ w_{5,2} &= \epsilon v(I_{12}) - \epsilon v(J_{10}) - v(I_{2\epsilon})\\ w_{6,1} &= 3v(I_4) - v(I_{12})\\ w_{6,2} &= \epsilon v(J_{10}) + v(I_{2\epsilon}) - \epsilon v(I_{12})\\ w_{7,1} &= -w_{6,1}\\ w_{7,2} &= \epsilon v(J_{10}) + v(I_{2\epsilon}) - 3\epsilon v(I_4)\end{aligned}$$

These functions can be simplified but right now they are defined this way to make the upcoming theorem easier to write down. In fact, the first number in the subscript corresponds to the reduction type.

Now for the theorem. We assume $g = 2$. Let F be a finite extension such that C_F admits a stable model. This exists by the semi-stable reduction theorem. We will denote the stable model by $\mathcal{C}$. Its special fibre will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_s$. Also, let $K = F$. By a combinatorial argument (and using the fact that $2a+t \leq 2g$, where a and t are the abelian and toric ranks of $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C}_s)$), there exist only 7 possible reduction types. These are in Figure 1. One can also consider the corresponding *dual graphs*. These will be important for the tropical version. The corresponding dual graphs are contained in Figure 2. A "1" in the figures denotes a component whose normalization is an elliptic curve.



FIGURE 1. The possible stable reduction types for a genus 2 curve.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a projective smooth, geometrically connected curve of genus 2 over K. Let $\bar{J} := \{J_2, J_4, J_6, J_8, J_{10}\}$ be the Igusa invariants as introduced before. The possible reduction types are determined by the Igusa invariants as follows.*

- *(Smooth case) $w_i \geq 0$ for every $i \leq 5 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ is smooth.*
- *(Single double point) $w_{2,i} \geq 0$ for every $i \leq 5$ and $w_{2,x} \geq 0 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ is irreducible with one singular point.*
- *(Double double point) $w_{3,i} \geq 0$ for every $i \leq 5$, $w_{3,5} > 0$, $w_{3,x} \geq 0$ and either $w_{3,y1} = 0$ or $w_{3,y2} = 0 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ is irreducible with two ordinary double points.*

- *(Chestnut)* $w_{4,i} > 0$ *for every* $2 \leq i \leq 5 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ *consists of two projective lines which intersect each other in 3 points.*
- *(Two elliptic curves)* $w_{2c,1}, w_{2c,2}, w_{2c,3} > 0. \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ *consists of two components intersecting in only one point. Furthermore,* $w_{5,1}, w_{5,2} \geq 0 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ *consists of two elliptic curves intersecting in one point.*
- *(One elliptic curve, one singular projective line)* $w_{2c,i} > 0$ *for every* i,$w_{6,1} \geq 0$ *and* $w_{6,2} > 0 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ *consists of two components intersecting in one point, with one component having one ordinary double point.*
- *(Two singular projective lines)* $w_{2c,i} > 0$ *for every* i, $w_{7,1} > 0$ *and* $w_{7,2} > 0 \iff \mathcal{C}_s$ *consists of two projective lines intersecting in one point.*

Proof. One starts by taking the hyperelliptic involution on C and prolonging it to $\mathcal{C}$. Taking the quotient of this scheme $\mathcal{C}$ by the hyperelliptic involution one obtains a scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ with generic fibre $\mathcal{Z}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. The corresponding quotient is either irreducible or reducible, in which case there are two irreducible components. The case where $\mathcal{Z}$ is irreducible corresponds to the first 4 cases in the theorem. The theorem follows by explictly writing out the equations for the quintic in question and by writing down the reduction of the Igusa invariants. □

Thus the reduction types are completely determined in terms of the tropical Igusa invariants. Now that we know the reduction types, we would also like to know the **thickness** of any singular point on the special fibre. This is given by the following

Theorem 3.2. *(The cases below correspond bijectively with the cases in Theorem 3.1). Let* C *and* $\mathcal{C}$ *be as before.*

- *(Smooth case) There are no singular points on* $\mathcal{C}_s$*.*
- *(Single double point) There is one singular point with thickness* $e = w_{2,x}/6$*.The component group is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$*.*
- *(Double double point) There are two singular points. Let* $e_1 \leq e_2$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \inf\{w_{3,x}, w_{3,5}/4\} \\ e_2 &= w_{3,5}/2 - e_1 \end{aligned}$$

- *(Chestnut) There are three singular points, let* $e_1 \leq e_2 \leq e_3$*. Let* $l = v(J_{10}) - 5v(J_2)$*,* $n = v(I_{12}) - 6v(J_{12})$ *and* $m = v(J_4) - 2v(J_2)$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \inf\{l/3, n/2, m\} \\ e_2 &= \inf\{(l - e_1)/2, n - e_1\} \\ e_3 &= l - e_1 - e_2 \end{aligned}$$

  If $d_1 = gcd(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ *and* $d_1 d_2 = e_1e_2 + e_2e_3 + e_1e_3$*, then we have that* $\Psi \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_2\mathbb{Z}$*.*
- *(Two elliptic curves) There is one singular point with thickness*

$$e = \frac{1}{12\epsilon}\epsilon v(J_{10}) - 5v(I_{2\epsilon})$$



FIGURE 2. The dual intersection graph for every reduction type as in Theorem 3.1.

.

- *(One elliptic curve, one singular projective line) Let e_0 be the thickness of the intersection point and e_1 the thickness of the singular point. Then $e_0 = \frac{1}{12\epsilon}(\epsilon v(I_{12}) - 6v(I_{2\epsilon}))$ and $e_1 = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\epsilon v(J_{10}) + v(I_{2\epsilon}) - \epsilon v(I_{12}))$. We have that $\Psi \cong \mathbb{Z}/e_1\mathbb{Z}$.*
- *(Two singular projective lines) Let e_0 be the thickness of the intersection point and $e_1 \leq e_2$ that of the singular points. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= \frac{1}{4\epsilon}(\epsilon v(I_4) - 2v(I_{2\epsilon})) \\ e_1 &= \inf\{w_{7,1}, \frac{1}{2\epsilon}w_{7,2}\} \\ e_2 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}(w_{7,2}) - e_1 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have that $\Psi \cong \mathbb{Z}/e_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/e_2\mathbb{Z}$

Proof. The calculations for the lengths and component groups are in [[9], Proposition 2, p215]. We have translated them to statements in terms of valuations for tropical purposes. □

3.2. **Tropical cycles.** We are now ready for the theorem announced in the introduction. Let K be a discretely valued field with ring of integers R. Suppose that C is stable over K and let $\mathcal{C}$ be its stable model. There is an unique extension of the valuation on K to an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K. We will denote this valuation by v again. Taking the base extension of the stable model by the ring of integers $\bar{R}$ in $\bar{K}$ yields a scheme over R. The thickness of any singular point hasn't changed under the base extension. For if we have a ordinary point of the form $R[[x,y]]/(xy-t^n)$, then its thickness is n. If we base extend this ring by $\bar{R}$ then the valuation of t^n will not change.
In Theorem 3.1 we obtained the possible reduction types. These reduction types all have a dual intersection graph. If we have a faithful tropicalization, then this dual intersection graph has an isometric copy in its faithful tropicalization. Thankfully, these faithful tropicalization exist, although the methods used are purely analytic.

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a projective, smooth geometrically connected semi-stable curve of genus 2. There exists a faithful tropicalization over the completion of the algebraic closure of K.*

Proof. This follows from [13] or [15], which both yield an embedding of C such that the tropical embedding contains an isometric copy of the minimal skeleton. The embedding created in [13] is known to be closed. □

Remark 3.1. In [15] it is even showed that one can find an embedding $C \to \mathbb{P}^3$ which yields a faithful tropicalization. In the next section we will show how torsion points on Jacobian give rise to tropicalizations as it did in the elliptic curves.

We now recall the main theorem announced in the introduction.

Theorem 2. (**Main Theorem**) Let C be a curve of genus 2. Suppose that C is semi-stable. Then the reduction type and the cycle lengths of a faithful tropicalization are completely described in terms of tropical Igusa invariants by Theorem 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof. This follows from Theorema 3.1 and 3.2, since the dual intersection graph of $\mathcal{C}_s$ together with the thickness of any singular point, gives the minimal skeleton Σ of C. □

Remark 3.2. The cell decomposition of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_2}$ as in [17] can be quickly summarized by

$$\{\text{Smooth curves}\} \cup \{\text{singular stable curves}\}$$

(where the singular stable curves are the boundary term) and these can be reduced further to the reduction types as in Theorem 3.1. For every stable reduction type here we have a tropical counterpart as described in Theorem 3.1. Thus we find

$$\{\text{Cell decomposition } \overline{\mathcal{M}_2}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Decomposition tropical moduli space}\}$$

Our hope is that one can find a decomposition of a tropical moduli space for curves of genus n similar to the one found here, such that this decomposition corresponds exactly to the cell decomposition of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_n}$ as described in [17]. This would completely determine the "boundary" of the moduli space in terms of tropicalizations.

4. Torsion points and faithful tropicalizations

We will first study the case of an elliptic curve, which was already done for $N = 3$ in [13],[4] and [5]. We will then generalize this to genus 2 curves.

4.1. **Elliptic curves.** It is known that one can quite explicitly write down the tropicalization of an elliptic curve, see [5], [4] and [13]. The methods used involve the 3-torsion of an elliptic curve. We will extend this to p-torsion (most of this is also possible for N-torsion, but for p a prime it is easier to handle the kernels). To that end, suppose we have an elliptic curve with semi-stable reduction. Now take an p-torsion point α reducing to the singular point. This exists, because otherwise $\mathbb{G}_m$ would contain a subgroup of the form $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$.
In the reduction graph we have an irreducible component corresponding to α which we will denote by A as in [13]. Its multiples yield other irreducible components which we will denote by C_i, where $A_i := [i](A)$ reduces to C_i. This gives a subdivision of the minimal skeleton Γ of E^{an} into p edges of equal length.
We would now like to create tropical meromorphic functions as in [14] that will parametrize Γ. In order to do this we would like to give the functions in terms of p-torsion.

Lemma 2. *Let F be a tropical meromorphic function with divisor $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i C_i$ with $\sum a_i = 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i \cdot a_i \equiv 0 \mod p$$

Proof. We will give an elementary proof. Let $h_i = F(C_i)$. Then we characterize the sum of the incoming and outgoing slopes by the formula:

$$a_i = (h_i - h_{i-1}) + (h_i - h_{i+1}) = 2h_i - h_{i-1} - h_{i+1}$$

Let us follow the h_{i+1}-term in the sum under consideration in the lemma. The first term is $-i \cdot h_{i+1}$. The second $2(i+1) \cdot h_{i+1}$. The third is equal to $-(i+2) \cdot h_{i+1}$. The sum of these three is 0. Thus most of the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i \cdot a_i \equiv 0 \mod p$ under consideration will "telescope" to zero. The remaining part is $-h_0 - (p-1)h_0 = -ph_0 \equiv 0$. This proves the lemma. □

We will use this lemma to construct for every tropical meromorphic function F, a principal divisor $f \in \mathrm{Prin}(E)$ that reduces to F. In this way we can create *any* tropical meromorphic function on Γ. To do this, let F be a tropical meromorphic function with

divisor $(F) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i C_i$. Consider the divisor

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i A_i$$

where $P_0 = \infty$.

Lemma 3. *f is a principal divisor.*

Proof. It suffices to check that $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i A_i = 0$ in the group law of E. By identifying A_i with i in the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, this follows from the previous lemma. □

Example 4.1. Take $N = 5$. We will proceed as above to find functions such that the tropicalization is faithful. Let P_1 be a point of order 5 that reduces to a singular point on the special fibre. Let $P_i := [i](P_1)$. Consider the divisors with suggestive notation

$$\begin{aligned}(f) &:= 2P_1 + P_2 - P_4 - 2\mathcal{O} \\ (g) &:= -P_1 + 2P_3 - \mathcal{O}\end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that these divisors are principal and thus that we have functions f and g with these divisors. The tropical forms F and G of respectively f and g in this case are shown in Figure 3. This cycle has length $-2/5(v(j)) - 1/5(v(j)) - 1/5(v(j)) - 1/5(v(j)) - 1/5(v(j)) = -6/5v(j)$. Actually, we obtained these functions by first creating the tropical functions F and G. Then we created their divisors and then we used the lemma to obtain the principal divisors f and g.

Example 4.2. Suppose we take $N = 4$. This is not a prime number of course, but the above lemmas still work. An explicit example of a faithful tropicalization was already given in [13]. We will generalize this example.
So let P_1 be a point of order four that reduces to a point of order 4 on the component group. As before we let $P_i := [i]P_1$. Consider the divisors

$$\begin{aligned}(f) &:= P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - \mathcal{O} \\ (g) &:= P_2 + P_3 - P_1 - \mathcal{O}\end{aligned}$$

These divisors are again principal and we have functions f and g reducing to these functions. One can in fact check that the divisors of the x and y coordinate in [13] have exactly these forms.

One can see the end result in figure 4. We obtain a "box" as in [13]. This tropicalization is in fact faithful, in the sense that it has cycle length $-v(j)$.

Example 4.3. To see what kind of tropicalizations we can obtain, we will consider the example $N = 7$. Again, let P_1 be a point of order 7 reducing to the singular point on E. Consider the following divisors

$$\begin{aligned}(f) &:= -P_1 - P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + 2P_5 - 2\mathcal{O} \\ (g) &:= -\mathcal{O} + P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 - P_5 - 2P_6\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 3. The tropical forms F and G and the cycle in the tropicalization for $N = 5$.

These divisors are principal with functions f and g. We obtain the tropical forms F and G as in figure 5. Here we also see the corresponding tropicalization, a 7-gon.

In this case the tropicalization has a cycle of length $-\frac{v(j)}{7}(2+1+2+1+2+1+1) = -\frac{10}{7}v(j)$.



FIGURE 4. The tropical forms F and G and the cycle in the tropicalization for $N = 4$

5. Torsion points and tropicalizations for genus 2

Suppose that we have a genus 2 curve C with a rational point on it, which we will denote by ∞. We will keep things as elementary as possible and develop everything from scratch, where Riemann-Roch is considered to be "scratch". Note that throughout this section we will also make heavy use of the map ρ constructed in section 2. We will usually write $P_2 \longmapsto C_2$ (which means $\rho(P_2) = C_2$) if P_2 reduces to the component marked by C_2 on the special fibre of the minimal regular model over K. With these reduction maps we will also identify divisors of the form $\sum a_i C_i$ as elements of the component group of the Néron model of the Jacobian of C, as is explained in [14] and in section 2 of this paper.
First we prove that any element of the Jacobian of C can be written in a certain form.

Lemma 4. *Let D be divisor of degree zero on C. Then $D \sim P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty$.*

Proof. Consider the divisor $D' = D + 2\infty$. This has degree 2. By Riemann-Roch we have that $\ell(D') \geq \mathrm{Deg}(D') - g + 1 = 1$. Thus we can find a function in $\mathcal{L}(D')$, call it f. We then have $\mathrm{div}(f) + D' \geq 0$ and in fact $\mathrm{div}(f) + D' = P_1 + P_2$ because it has degree two and is effective. We then have that $D \sim P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty$, because $D - P_1 - P_2 + 2\infty = -\mathrm{div}(f)$. □

Remark 5.1. This proof works for any curve of genus n with a rational point. One still obtains the inequality $\ell(D') \geq 1$ and thus a function f.



FIGURE 5. The tropical forms F and G and the cycle in the tropicalization for $N = 7$.

Now let σ be the hyperelliptic involution on C. We have the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 5. $D = P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty \sim 0 \iff \sigma(P_1) = P_2$.

Proof. If $\sigma(P_1) = P_2$, then one can take $f = x - x(P_1)$. Now suppose that $P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty \sim \mathrm{div}(f)$. Consider the morphism defined by $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$. This is a morphism of degree 2. Since there exists only one such a morphism up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain our result. □

Remark 5.2. This fact is used to obtain the Jacobian for a genus 2 curve. One takes the symmetric product $C \times C$ and considers the map to the Jacobian. One has to blow down the subvariety of all divisors of the above form This subvariety is a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$. The variety obtained by blowing down this divisor is the Jacobian of C.

5.1. **3 torsion on a genus 2 curve.** Let us consider the 3-torsion of the Jacobian on a genus 2 curve for char $k \neq 3$. It is well-known that over an algebraic closure the 3-torsion of the Jacobian is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^4$. Take a such a class D of order 3. It has a representative of the form $P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty$ as in the lemma above.

Lemma 6. $2D \sim \sigma(P_1) + \sigma(P_2) - 2\infty$

Proof. Consider the divisors $P_i - \infty$ and $\sigma(P_i) - \infty$. We have that $P_i + \sigma(P_i) - 2\infty = \mathrm{div}(x - x(P_i))$, thus the class of $\sigma(P_i) - \infty$ is the unique inverse of $P_i - \infty$. This also implies that $\sigma(P_1) + \sigma(P_2) - 2\infty$ is the unique inverse of D. But the inverse of D is exactly $2D$ (because it is 3-torsion). Hence the Lemma. □

Now suppose we have a *semi-stable* curve of genus 2. As before, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the minimal regular model of C. The identity component of the Néron model is either $\mathbb{G}_m^2$, an extension of an elliptic curve by a torus or the Jacobian of a smooth curve of genus 2. We will assume that we are in the first case, and more specifically in one of the reduction types 3 or 7 from Theorem 3.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that all 3-torsion is defined over K. Then there exists a point of order 3 in the component group of the Néron model.*

Proof. Indeed, the 3-torsion in the identity component has $\mathbb{F}_3$-dimension at most 2 by the above observation. This also follows from the identity $g = 2a + t + u$, where a, t, u are the abelian, toric and unipotent ranks of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathcal{C}_s)$. □

We need one more lemma to continue.

Lemma 8. *There exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to Pic(\mathcal{C}_s)[3] \to Pic(C)[3] \to \Psi(\mathcal{C})[3] \to 0$$

Proof. See [8]. □

Since the component group of the cases 3 and 7 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/e_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/e_2\mathbb{Z}$, we can also proceed as follows. Take a ramified extension of K of degree 3. Then the e_i are divisible by 3. Now take any 3-torsion reducing to $(e_1/3, 0)$ and $(0, e_2/3)$. This exists by the previous lemma. We will show that we can create tropical embeddings using these three torsion points.

To create these tropical embeddings, we have to create functions that have the right divisorial properties. So take $D_1 \in J(C)[3]$ such that it reduces to $(e_1/3, 0)$(again, this exists by the exact sequence before). We can create the right functions using this divisor.This is done by the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *There exist f and g such that*

$$\begin{aligned} div(f) &= 2P_1 + 2P_2 - \sigma(P_1) - \sigma(P_2) - 2\infty \\ div(g) &= 2\sigma(P_1) + 2\sigma(P_2) - P_1 - P_2 - 2\infty \end{aligned}$$

where the P_i are such that $P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty \sim D_1$.

Proof. This follows because we have the relation $2D \sim \sigma(P_1) + \sigma(P_2) - 2\infty$.

□

We will now consider the tropical counterparts of these principal functions. We will use the notations as in [13]. To know exactly what the functions $F := -\log|f|$ and $G := -\log|g|$ we need to know what the reductions are of the points P_1 and P_2. More explicitly, we have to know what components they reduce to in the special fibre. Suppose as before that we are in the "split" case, that is: $\Psi \cong \mathbb{Z}/e_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/e_2\mathbb{Z}$. Since we took 3-torsion in the first part, we will only consider the components of the first part of the component group. So let them be $\{C_i\}$. Here we assume that they have been ordered in accordance with the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/e_1\mathbb{Z} \cong \Psi_1$ (as in $C_i - C_\infty \sim \bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}/e_1\mathbb{Z}$). Suppose that $P_1 \longmapsto C_i$ and $P_2 \longmapsto C_j$ under the reduction map. Since we choose D to reduce to $(e_1/3, 0)$, we must have $i + j = e_1/3 \mod e_1$.

Now we would like to say that the functions f and g created above yield *faithful tropicalizations*. This is however not always the case since the slopes of $F := -\log|f|$ and $G = -\log|g|$ can be greater than 1. The good news, as we will show below, however is that for at least one of these functions we have that the slope is non-zero. The bad news is that we do not always have separation of points. When we do, we obtain a *scaled* version of the faithful tropicalization, by a result of Section 2.

Lemma 10. *For any edge in Γ, we have that either F or G has nonzero slope.*

Proof. First, let it be noted that there are at most 5 points where the slope of either F or G changes, namely $\{C_i, C_j, \sigma(C_i), \sigma(C_j), C_\infty\}$. Also, note that the graphs of F and G are *symmetric*, in the sense that the reflection of F in the $e_1/2$ axis is exactly G.
Suppose for a contradiction there is an edge e where both F and G are constant. We can take e to be maximal, such that the endpoints belong to the above set. Note that C_∞ cannot be one of those points, because otherwise F and G would be constant in more than that edge by symmetry. Also note that if the reduction of P_1 is the same as that of P_2, then F and G have only option and one sees as in [13] that these have nonzero slope everywhere.
We will now consider 4 cases. They will depend on the configuration of the reduction of the P_i on the reduction graph Γ. An example of such a configuration: $\{P_1, P_2, \sigma(P_2), \sigma(P_1)\}$.
A quick remark: for any configuration we have that the pair in the center must be



FIGURE 6. The configuration in Case 2

$\{P_i, \sigma(P_i)\}$ or $\{\sigma(P_i), P_i\}$. If F and G are constant on the edges to the left and the right

of the edge $\{P_i, \sigma(P_i)\}$ (or $\{\sigma(P_i), P_i\}$) then we easily obtain a contradiction. Indeed, the incoming slope for P_i must be 2 and for $\sigma(P_i)$ must be 1. Since there are no changes in slope on the other edges adjacent to P_i and $\sigma(P_i)$, we get a contradiction.

- **Case 1**: Suppose that the configuration is $S := \{P_1, P_2, \sigma(P_2), \sigma(P_1)\}$. Suppose that F and G are constant between P_2 and $\sigma(P_2)$. Let us take F. After $\sigma(P_2)$ it is curved upward, whereas it is curved downward from P_2 to the left (see the form of f and F given above). Thus, they can never meet in $\mathcal{O}$, a contradiction.
- **Case 2**: Suppose that the configuration is $S := \{P_1, \sigma(P_2), P_2, \sigma(P_1)\}$. We obtain a contradiction by choice of D. Identifying the points P_i with points in $\mathbb{R}$ (see Figure 6) we obtain the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 + P_2 &= n + n/3 \\ P_1 &= n - \sigma(P_1) \end{aligned}$$

  by construction of D. This yields

$$P_2 - \sigma(P_1) = n/3$$

  In particular we have $P_2 > \sigma(P_1)$, a contradiction with the configuration.
- **Case 3**: $\{\sigma(P_1), P_2, \sigma(P_2), P_1\}$. A quick calculation shows that the change upward for such a curve must be $n + \sigma(P_1)$ and the change downward $\sigma(P_1)$. Since the first one is bigger for $n \geq 1$, we obtain a contradiction.
- **Case 4**: $\{\sigma(P_1), \sigma(P_2), P_2, P_1\}$. Suppose that F and G are constant on $e = [\sigma(P_2), P_2]$. Then the corresponding solution is strictly curved upward to the left of e and strictly curved downward to the right of e. Thus they can never meet in $\mathcal{O}$, as in Case 1.

There are 4 more cases with P_2 and $\sigma(P_2)$ on the outside, but the problem is completely symmetric with respect to P_1 and P_2 (see the form of f and g). The details are the same as above but with P_1 and P_2 interchanged. We leave the details to the reader.

□

As mentioned above, these functions do not always separate points on Γ. We highlight this in an example.

Example 5.1. Suppose that $\Psi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that we have a 3-torsion point D of the form $D = P_1 + P_2 - 2\infty$ and suppose that P_1 reduces to $\mathcal{C}_8$ and P_2 to $\mathcal{C}_4$. We obtain the forms of F and G as in Figure 7. We have that $F(C_3) = F(C_6) = G(C_3) = G(C_6)$ so they do not separate points.

Example 5.2. Let us consider a slightly different example. Suppose that $\Psi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\longmapsto C_7 \\ P_2 &\longmapsto C_5 \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 7. Tropical forms without separation of points.

The tropical forms of F and G are in Figure 8. We can calculate the tropicalization as



FIGURE 8. Tropical forms of F and G with separation of points.

follows. For every edge we look at the greatest common divisor of the nonzero slopes. We multiply the slope by the length of the edge. This yields the length of the tropicalization. In this case, the tropicalization has length $2 \cdot 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 \cdot 2 = 14$.

6. Appendix A: Explicit invariants

Let $f(X)$ be a quintic of the form

$$f(X) = v_0X^5 - v_1X^4 + ... - v_5$$

as in [7]. An explicit form of the Igusa invariants for this quintic is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= 5v_0v_4 - 2v_1v_3 + 3v_2^2/4 \\
J_4 &= -1/8 \cdot [5^2v_0^2v_3v_5 - 3 \cdot 5v_0^2v_4^2 - 3 \cdot 5v_0v_1v_2v_5 + 7v_0v_1v_3v_4 \\
&\quad +1/2 \cdot v_0v_2^2v_4 - v_0v_2v_3^2 + 4v_1^3v_5 - v_1^2v_2v_4 - v_1^2v_3^2 + v_1v_2^2v_3 - 1/16 \cdot 3v_2^4] \\
J_6 &= -1/16 \cdot [\frac{5^3}{2}v_0^3v_2v_5^2 - 25v_0^3v_3v_4v_5 + 5v_0^3v_4^3 - 25v_0^2v_1^2v_5^2 \\
&\quad -2 \cdot 5v_0^2v_1v_2v_4v_5 + 2 \cdot 5v_0^2v_1v_3^2v_5 - v_0^2v_1v_3v_4^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{5}{4}v_0^2v_2^2v_3v_5 - \frac{11}{4}v_0^2v_2^2v_4^2 + \frac{7}{2}v_0^2v_2v_3^2v_4 \\
&\quad -v_0^2v_3^4 + 2 \cdot 3v_0v_1^3v_4v_5 - 3v_0v_1^2v_2v_3v_5 + \frac{7}{2}v_0v_1^2v_2v_4^2 \\
&\quad -2v_0v_1^2v_3^2v_4 + \frac{3}{4}v_0v_1v_2^3v_5 - \frac{7}{4}v_0v_1v_2^2v_3v_4 \\
&\quad +v_0v_1v_2v_3^3 + \frac{7}{2^4}v_0v_2^4v_4 - \frac{1}{2^2}v_0v_2^3v_3^2 - v_1^4v_4^2 \\
&\quad +v_1^3v_2v_3v_4 - \frac{1}{4}v_1^2v_2^3v_4 - \frac{1}{4}v_1^2v_2^2v_3^2 + \frac{1}{8}v_1v_2^4v_3 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2^6}v_2^6] \\
J_8 &= \frac{1}{2^2}(J_2J_6 - J_4^2) \\
J_{10} &= \Delta(f)/2^{12},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(f)$ is the discriminant of f, which is rational in the v_i by the fundamental theorem on symmetric functions. Given any quintic, one can also just calculate the discriminant in the usual way.

References

[1] Joseph H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Springer, 2009, 2nd edition.

[2] Joseph H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Springer, 1999, Corrected second printing.

[3] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, 1997, eighth printing.

[4] Bernd Sturmfels, Melody Chan, *Elliptic Curves in Honeycomb form*, arXiv:1203.2356, [math.AG]

[5] P.A. Helminck, *On the p-rank of the class group of quadratic number fields*, Master's thesis, 2014, available online at: *http://irs.ub.rug.nl/dbi/541bf435f062b*

[6] P.A. Helminck, *Tropical j-invariants*, Bachelor's Thesis, 2011, available online at: *http://irs.ub.rug.nl/dbi/4e8d5a97c9f30*
[7] Jun-Ichi Igusa, *Arithmetic Variety of Moduli for Genus Two*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 72, No. 3 (Nov., 1960), pp. 612-649
[8] Qing Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*. Oxford Graduate texts in Mathematics, 6.
[9] Qing Liu, *Courbes stables de genre 2 et leur schéma de modules*, Mathematische Annalen, January 1993, Volume 295, Issue 1, pp 201-222
[10] Martijn van der Valk, *On moduli of hyperelliptic curves of genus two*. Master's thesis in Mathematics, University of Groningen. Available at *http://irs.ub.rug.nl/dbi/4e5e0bea2fa82*
[11] Siegfried Bosch, *Lectures on Formal and Rigid Geometry*, Springer, 2014.
[12] Siegfried Bosch, Michael Raynaud,Werner Lutkebohmert, *Néron models*
[13] Matthew Baker, Sam Payne, Joseph Rabinoff, *Nonarchimedean Geometry, Tropicalization, and metrics on curves*, arXiv:1104.0320 [math.AG], v3
[14] Matthew Baker, *Specialization of Linear Systems From Curves to Graphs*, arXiv:math/0701075 [math.NT], v3
[15] Matthew Baker, Joseph Rabinoff, *The skeleton of the Jacobian, the Jacobian of the skeleton, and lifting meromorphic functions from tropical to algebraic curves*, arXiv:1308.3864 [math.AG]
[16] Vladimir Berkovich, *Spectral Theory and analytic geometry over Non-archimedean fields*, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Volume: 33 1990 169
[17] David Mumford, *Towards an Enumerative Geometry of the Moduli Space of Curves*, Arithmetic and Geometry, Papers Dedicated to I.R. Shafarevich on the Occasion of His Sixtieth Birthday. Volume II: Geometry, Volume 36 of the series Progress in Mathematics pp 271-32
[18] M.A. Cueto, H. Markwig and R. Morrison, *Tropical genus 2 curves and their Igusa invariants.* In preparation, 2016.